

**HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

MUKESH KUMAR SALUJA
2015.02.19 10:25
I attest to the accuracy and
authenticity of this document

**CWP No.11877 of 2013
Date of decision:16.02.2015**

Vinod Kumar and others

...Petitioners

Versus

Municipal Corporation, Yamuna Nagar and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK

Present: Mr.K.K.Gupta, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr.Raman B. Garg, Advocate for respondent No.1.

Mr. Manoj Kumar Sangwan, DAG, Haryana.

RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK, J. (Oral)

Feeling aggrieved against the alleged inaction on the part of respondent authorities, petitioners have approached this Court by way of instant writ petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India, seeking a writ in the nature of mandamus.

It is undisputed between the parties that no appropriate order was passed on numerous representations, submitted by the petitioners vide Annexure P-4 (colly) nor any such intimation was sent to them about the decision on their representation(s).

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that on the one hand, genuine grievance of the petitioners has not been readdressed considering them for promotion against the posts lying vacant and on the other hand, the respondents are engaging the persons on the said posts through service providers.

Be that as it may, Commissioner, Municipal Corporation, Yamuna Nagar-respondent No.1 is directed to look into the matter, consider

the grievance of the petitioners, which prima facie seems to be genuine and decide their representation(s) Annexure P-4 (colly) at an early date by passing an appropriate order thereon, strictly in accordance with law but in any case within a period of two months from today.

With the above-said observations made and directions issued, instant writ petition stands disposed of.

16.02.2015
mks

(RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK)
JUDGE