

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

237

**Criminal Writ Petition No.1306 of 2015
Date of decision: September 17, 2015**

Ashok Singh

....Petitioner

versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE DAYA CHAUDHARY

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment? Yes/No
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not? Yes/No
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest? Yes/No

Present: Mr. Parveen Chauhan, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Baljinder Singh Virk, DAG, Haryana.

Mr. Wazir Singh, Advocate for respondents No.4 & 5.

DAYA CHAUDHARY, J.

The present petition has been filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ in the nature of habeas corpus for production and release of detainee, namely, Annu Rathor-daughter of the petitioner who is in illegal custody of respondents No.4 to 7.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that custody of the alleged detainee, namely, Annu Rathor was given to the petitioner who is her father and she was sent to petitioner's sister house in Mathura from where the alleged detainee was kidnapped.

On the other hand, learned counsel for respondents No.4 and 5 submits that Rajbir son of Jeetu is behind the bars and he has no role in any manner in kidnapping of the alleged girl. He also submits that the custody of the girl was with her father and respondents no.4 and 5 have no role to play in any manner.

In view of the submissions made by learned counsel for the parties and keeping in view the fact that since cause of action has arisen at Mathura, no direction can be issued by this Court.

Disposed of accordingly.

However, the petitioner is at liberty to avail appropriate remedy in accordance with law.

September 17, 2015
sonia g.

(DAYA CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE