

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

258

**Criminal Writ Petition No.13 of 2015
Date of decision: October 01, 2015**

Asrudin @ Hasrudin

....Petitioner

versus

The State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE DAYA CHAUDHARY

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment? Yes/No
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not? Yes/No
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest? Yes/No

Present: Mr. A.S. Trikha, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mrs. Ritu Punj, Addl. A.G., Punjab.

DAYA CHAUDHARY, J.

The present petition has been filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a direction to respondent No.1 to consider the case of the petitioner for premature release in view of policy dated 04.04.2013 as he has undergone more than the requisite sentence.

Learned counsel for the respondent-State submits that in compliance of order dated 10.09.2015, an amount of Rs.20,000/- as costs has already been paid to the petitioner and a receipt in lieu thereof along with reply by way of an affidavit of Superintendent, Central Jail, Patiala on behalf of respondents No.1, 3 and 4 are already on record.

Learned State counsel submits that the premature release case of the petitioner is likely to be decided within three months.

In view of the submissions made by learned State counsel, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.1 to decide the case of the petitioner for premature release within a period of three months from the date of receipt of copy of this order.

October 01, 2015
sonia g.

(DAYA CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE