

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH.**

Crl. W.P. No.1221 of 2015

Date of Decision: 31.08.2015

Harjinder Singh

....Petitioner

Versus

The State of Haryana and others

....Respondents

BEFORE :- HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE DAYA CHAUDHARY

Present:- Mr. H.P.S. Aulakh, Advocate
for the petitioner.

DAYA CHAUDHARY, J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that an oral request was made by the petitioner for grant of his parole for house repair but no order has been passed and his request has been turned down, whereas, the request of similarly situated convicts has been accepted.

On perusal of averments made in the petition, it appears that nowhere, it has been mentioned as to when the request was made. Simply, it has been mentioned that the request was made by him for grant of parole for house repair but it has been declined and the same benefit has been granted to respondents No.4 to 6, no directions can be issued.

The petition is accordingly dismissed.

However, the petitioner is at liberty to move fresh written application to the authorities concerned for his grievance as mentioned in the petition.

In case, any application is moved by the petitioner to the authorities concerned within a period of two weeks from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order, the authorities concerned are directed to consider the same in accordance with law.

31.08.2015
gurpreet

(DAYA CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE