

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

233

**Criminal Writ Petition No.1148 of 2015
Date of Decision: 21st August, 2015**

Munish Kumar and another

....Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE DAYA CHAUDHARY

1. Whether reporters of local newspapers may be allowed to see judgment? Yes/No
2. To be referred to reporters or not? Yes/No
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest? Yes/No

Present: Mr. Surajpreet Singh, Advocate for
Mr. Navkiran Singh, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Baljinder Singh Virk, DAG, Haryana.

DAYA CHAUDHARY, J.

The present petition has been filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ in the nature of habeas corpus for appointment of Warrant Officer to raid the premises of respondent No.4 and any other place to be pointed out by the petitioner and to release detainee, namely, Sujal son of the petitioner.

During the course of arguments, a query was put to learned counsel for the petitioners as to how this petition for issuance of a writ in the nature of habeas corpus has been made out.

In response thereto, learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the whereabouts of the wife of the petitioner and his minor son are not known. He also submits that his wife is in the company of wrong persons and her whereabouts are not known and is not in a position to explain as to how this is a case of illegal detention.

Learned State counsel, on instructions from ASI Karambir submits that wife of the petitioner has been recovered and FIR No.86, dated 13.05.2015 has been registered under Section 365 IPC at Police Station Jalandhar.

In view of the submissions made by learned State counsel, nothing remains in the present petition and the same being devoid of any merit is hereby dismissed.

August 21, 2015
sonia g.

(DAYA CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE