

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

234

**CRWP No.1133 of 2015
Date of decision: 04.08.2015**

Manjit Kaur

....Petitioner

versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PARAMJEET SINGH

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment? Yes/No
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not? Yes/No
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest? Yes/No

Present: Mr. Hitesh Kaplish, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. T.N. Sarup, Addl. A.G., Punjab.

PARAMJEET SINGH, J.

Instant writ petition has been filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ in the nature of habeas corpus directing respondent Nos.3 and 4 to release husband of the petitioner, namely, Dilbagh Singh, from their illegal detention.

Vide order dated 30.07.2015, notice of motion was issued and Warrant Officer was directed to submit report.

In compliance of order dated 30.07.2015, Warrant Officer has submitted report and a relevant extract of the same reads as under:

*'.....A person who was sitting there said, Sir, I am
Dilbagh Singh. I asked night munshi-HC Jasbir Singh as to*

why Dilbagh Singh was detained there. He said I don't know. Sir, I have joined my duty about 10 minutes earlier. I asked him to call officer on Duty he made a call to ASI-gurmeet Ram who reached there after some time along with SHO Subhash Arora. I asked SHO as to why Dilbagh Singh was detained there, he said no he was not detained there rather he was called there today to inquire into a matter of theft. However, Dilbagh Singh alleged that he was called here on 29.07.2015 i.e. A day earlier and was also beaten up. These allegations were refuted by SHO. Then, I asked SHO as to whether Dilbagh Singh was required any more he said no. Entry with regard to the same was made in DDR bearing No.20 dated 30.0.2015 at 08:30 p.m. Thereafter, petitioner-Manjit Kaur along with her son and husband-Dilbagh Singh left the premises of Police Station Nakodar, Jalandhar....'

In view of the report, instant petition has become infructuous.
Dismissed as infructuous.

Petitioner will be at liberty to avail appropriate remedy including claim of damages etc., if available, in accordance with law.

(PARAMJEET SINGH)
JUDGE

August 04, 2015
sonia g.