

CRR (F)-86-2015
Date of decision : 17.12.2015

..... Petitioner

..... Respondents

Mr. Rajesh Arora, Advocate
for the respondents.

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

AJAY TEWARI, J. (Oral)

Parties are present in person. This petition has been filed against the orders dated 26.02.2015 and 04.03.2015 whereby the defence of the petitioner was struck off because of the repeated non-appearance and non-filing of affidavit of assets and liabilities and non-payment of interim maintenance. During the pendency of this matter before this Court the petitioner was directed to pay 50% of the arrears of maintenance @ Rs.35,000/- p.m. to the respondent No.1-wife by order dated 21.04.2015 and continue to pay as directed by this Court.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that the petitioner seeks only two effective opportunities to lead his entire evidence at his own responsibility and undertakes that till the decision of the case he will regularly continue to pay the maintenance @ Rs.35,000/- p.m..

I find this to be a fair submission. In the circumstances, the petition is allowed in the above terms. The parties through counsel are directed to appear before the Court on 18.01.2016. The Court is directed to give the petitioner two effective opportunities to lead his entire evidence at his own responsibility.

Petition stands disposed of in the above terms.

Since the main case has been decided, the pending criminal miscellaneous application, if any, also stands disposed of.

17.12.2015

Pooja sharma-I

**(AJAY TEWARI)
JUDGE**