

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRR (F) No. 46 of 2015

Date of decision : 04.03.2015

Mrs. Mamta & anr.

....Petitioners

V/s

Vikramaditya

....Respondent

BEFORE : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJAN GUPTA

Present: Mr. Keshav Pratap Singh, Advocate for the petitioners.

RAJAN GUPTA J.

This is a petition under section 482 Cr.P.C. challenging order passed by court below under section 125 Cr.P.C. Plea of the petitioners is that maintenance granted by the court below is on the lower side.

I have heard learned counsel for the petitioners.

Petitioner no. 1 and respondent got married on 27.04.2009. Out of the wedlock, one male child was born on 13.07.2010. Both parties led evidence regarding income of the respondent. Petitioners sought ₹30,000/- as maintenance. After considering entire evidence, District Judge Family Court came to the conclusion that respondent was earning ₹40,000/- per month. He, thus, directed him to pay ₹10,000/- per month as maintenance to petitioner no. 1 and ₹5,000/- to petitioner no. 2. He also directed the respondent to pay ₹11,000/- as litigation expenses.

Learned counsel for the petitioners has not been able to point out any infirmity with the order passed. I find no ground to interfere in revisional jurisdiction of this court. Petition is without any merit and is hereby dismissed.

March 04, 2015

(RAJAN GUPTA)
JUDGE

Ajay