

CRR (F)-338-2015(O&M)
Date of decision : 21.12.2015

..... Petitioner

versus

..... Respondent

Mr.J.P.Bhatt, Advocate
for the respondents.

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

AJAY TEWARI, J. (Oral)

This revision petition has been filed against the grant of interim maintenance of Rs.5,500/- per month to the respondent and the minor child of the parties. The amount can by no standard be deemed to be excessive.

Petition is dismissed.

However, at the request of learned counsel it is stated that the main proceedings would be expedited and both the parties would be given three effective opportunities to lead their evidence so that the

main case can be decided within one year.

Since the main case has been decided, the pending criminal miscellaneous application, if any, also stands disposed of.

21.12.2015

Pooja sharma-I

(AJAY TEWARI)
JUDGE