

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRWP No. 17 of 2014.
Date of Decision : 11.03.2015.

Jeeta

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA

Present: Mr. Rajpal Singh, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. M.S. Sidhu, Additional Advocate General, Haryana.

Tejinder Singh Dhindsa, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner would submit that after the filing of the instant writ petition, the detenues i.e. sister and wife of the petitioner have since been released.

Learned counsel further concedes that statements of the alleged detenues have been recorded and wherein, they had deposed that they have been living with respondent No. 4, namely, Mintu son of Ishwar of their own will and accord.

Accordingly, nothing would survive in the instant petition, wherein, prayer has been raised for issuance of a writ of habeas corpus. Disposed of as having been rendered infructuous.

March 11, 2015.
kanchan

(TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA)
JUDGE