

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.17077 of 2011
Date of decision: 10.07.2015

Sapna Kataria

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN PALLI

Present: Mr. Vijay Rana, Advocate, for the petitioner.
Mr. Nilesh Bhardwaj, DAG, Punjab.

1. Whether reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest?

ARUN PALLI J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the notification the petitioner has assailed in the matter in hand is dated 29.08.2007 (Annexure P4), though, as pointed out in the written statement filed by the State, petitioner was actually required to impugne the notification dated 23.09.2009. He submits that neither the relevant notification i.e. dated 23.09.2009 is questioned in this petition nor forms part of the record. Thus, he be permitted to withdraw the instant petition with liberty to file afresh on the same cause of action.

Learned State counsel does not oppose the prayer that has been advanced by learned counsel for the petitioner.

Dismissed as withdrawn with the liberty as prayed for.

**(Arun Palli)
Judge**

July 10, 2015

Rajan

