

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH.**

CRR (F)-290-2015 (O&M)  
Date of decision: 16.11.2015

Jitender

...Petitioner

Versus

Parkash Kaur

...Respondent

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJAN GUPTA**

Present: Mr. S.S. Sahu, Advocate for the petitioner.

**Rajan Gupta, J. (oral)**

Present revision petition is directed against the order dated 3.6.2015, passed by District Judge (Family Court), Hisar, whereby maintenance allowance @ Rs.4000/- per month has been granted to the respondent-wife under section 125 Cr.P.C.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has assailed the order. According to him, maintenance granted is on the higher side.

I have heard learned counsel for the petitioner and given careful thought to the facts of the case.

It appears that a petition under section 125 Cr.P.C. was instituted by the respondent before the court of District Judge (Family Court), Hisar. After considering rival contentions of the parties, court came to the conclusion that petitioner was liable to pay maintenance @ Rs.4000/- per month to the respondent from the date of filing of the petition. I find no legal infirmity with the order. Admittedly, petitioner is working as a mechanic. The court below has rightly assessed the

monthly income of the petitioner as Rs.15,000/-. Therefore, maintenance granted cannot be said to be on the higher side. There is no ground to interfere in revisional jurisdiction.

Dismissed.

**(RAJAN GUPTA)**  
**JUDGE**

16.11.2015  
'Rajpal'