

112 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH

CRR (F) No.29 of 2015 (O&M)  
Date of Decision: February 09, 2015

Shyam Sunder @ Billu

.... Petitioner

vs.

Smt. Preeti

.... Respondent

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH**

**Present:** Ms. Monisha Lamba, Advocate for the petitioner.

- 1. Whether Reporters of Local Newspapers may be allowed to see the judgment?*
- 2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?*
- 3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

**Kuldip Singh J.(Oral)**

Challenged in the present revision petition is the order dated 23.12.2014 passed by learned Sessions Judge, Palwal, affirming the order dated 23.05.2014 passed by learned Addl. Chief Judicial Magistrate, Palwal, vide which in an application filed under Section 12 of the Protection of Women from Domestic Violence Act, 2005, the interim maintenance @ ₹10,000/- per month was allowed to the wife-respondent.

Learned counsel for the revisionist has contended that the interim maintenance granted to the respondent-wife is on the higher side. It is stated that the revisionist is a labourer.

These facts were considered by the learned Addl. Chief Judicial Magistrate as well as learned Sessions Judge, Palwal. It was held that the present revisionist-husband is an able bodied person and can pay ₹10,000/- per month to his wife.

While exercising the revisional jurisdiction powers, I do not find any illegality or infirmity in the orders passed by both the courts below.

Hence, the present petition is dismissed.

**February 09, 2015**  
sarita

**(KULDIP SINGH)**  
**JUDGE**