

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

Crl. W.P. No. 1637 of 2014

DATE OF DECISION: 23.02.2015

Jagdish Singh

.....Petitioner

Versus

State

.....Respondent

**BEFORE:- HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE DAYA CHAUDHARY**

- 1. Whether reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see judgment?**
- 2. To be referred to reporters or not?**
- 3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?**

**By Post**

Present:- Ms. Ritu Punj, Addl. A.G., Punjab.

\*\*\*\*

**DAYA CHAUDHARY, J.**

A written complaint dated 14.10.2014 was sent to Hon'ble Chief Justice of this Court by the petitioner, who is father of one Narinderjit Kaur, which was treated as petition on judicial side.

Notice of motion was issued in the case.

In response to notice of motion, reply on behalf of respondent-State has been filed in Court today and the same is taken on record.

As per allegations in the written complaint, daughter of the petitioner, namely, Narinderjit Kaur has been harassed by her in laws for demand of dowry and she is in illegal custody and has not been allowed to contact anybody.

Learned counsel for the respondent-State on the basis of reply

submits that material facts have not been disclosed in the complaint as petitioner himself got registered an FIR No. 114 dated 8.11.2014 under Section 406 IPC at Police Station Anandpur Sahib against his daughter, namely, Narinderjit Kaur, who has also filed application for grant of anticipatory bail before Additional Sessions Judge, Rupnagar, in which, she has admitted that she has left her matrimonial home along with her minor son at her own. She has also mentioned in the said application that a divorce petition was also filed by her before District Judge, Rupnagar. Learned State counsel also submits that the daughter of the petitioner has also joined investigation in the aforesaid case and has also filed transfer application No. 461 of 2014 before this Court, which is pending for 25.3.2015.

After hearing learned State counsel as well as perusal of reply, it is clear that allegations mentioned in the petition are contrary to the facts. Accordingly, there is no merit in the petition and the same is dismissed.

February 23, 2015  
pooja

**(DAYA CHAUDHARY)**  
**JUDGE**