

In the High Court of Punjab and Haryana at Chandigarh

PARAMJIT KAUR SAINI
2015.08.01 03:48
I attest to the accuracy and
authenticity of this document

CWP No. 11341 of 2013
Date of Decision: 29.7.2015

Dr.C.P.Sikka

---Petitioner

versus

State of Punjab and others

---Respondents

Coram: Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ajay Kumar Mittal
Hon'ble Mrs. Justice Rekha Mittal

Present: Mr.Atul Lakhanpal, Senior Advocate
with Mr. Devendra Dutta, Advocate
for the petitioner

Ms. Munisha Gandhi, Addl. A.G.Punjab

Mr. Ashish Grover, Advocate
for respondent No.3

Mr. Ish Puneet Singh, Advocate
for respondent No. 4

Ajay Kumar Mittal, J.

The petitioner has approached this Court under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India seeking writ of mandamus or any other writ directing respondents No. 1 to 3 to adequately compensate the petitioner for the land which has been utilized by them.

2. In para No. 17 of the writ petition, it has been stated by the petitioner that suit for declaration has been filed claiming ownership of plot No. 41-A and further that mutation No. 758 is not binding upon the rights of the petitioner which is stated to be pending.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner prayed that he may be allowed to withdraw the writ petition with liberty to the petitioner to file an

application for amendment of civil suit taking all the pleas as have been sought to be raised in the writ petition.

4. Dismissed as withdrawn. It shall, however, be open to the petitioner to take recourse to the remedies as may be available to him, in accordance with law.

(Ajay Kumar Mittal)
Judge

(Rekha Mittal)
Judge

29.7.2015
PARAMJIT