

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRWP no. 1538 of 2014(O&M)

Date of Decision : 04.03.2015

Vinod Kumar

....Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE MAHESH GROVER

1)Whether reporters of local newspapers may be allowed to see the judgment?

2) To be referred to the Reporters or not?

3) Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Present : Mr. Mohd. Yousaf, Advocate for the petitioner
Mr. Deepak Garg, AAG, Punjab
Mr. Naveen Sharma, Advocate for respondent no.4.

MAHESH GROVER, J.

This is a Habeas Corpus petition under Section 226 of the Constitution of India where allegations have been made that respondent no.4 has forcibly detained the daughter of petitioner namely Pinki Kumari against her wishes. Both respondent no.4 namely Saaraj Singh and the alleged detenue Pinki Kumari are present in Court and have pleaded marriage.

The daughter of the petitioner is in family way and her wishes have been ascertained. She unequivocally stated that she wants to reside with her husband – respondent no.4 namely Saaraj Singh.

In this view of the matter, I am of the view that the alleged detenue Pinki Kumari is living of her own free will with her husband Saaraj Singh and thus no further action is required to be taken.

Hence, dismissed.

March 04, 2015
rekha

(MAHESH GROVER)
JUDGE