

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRR No.997 of 2015 (O&M)

Date of decision: 30th March, 2015

Jagir Singh

... Petitioner

Versus

Jaswinder Singh

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE FATEH DEEP SINGH

1. Whether Reporters of Local Newspapers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. Whether to be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Present: Mr. Saravpreet Gurna, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Tanmoy Gupta, Advocate
for the respondent.

FATEH DEEP SINGH, J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioner has placed on record original deposit receipt whereby a sum of ₹45,000 has been deposited by way of 15% of the cheque amount to facilitate compounding of the offence.

Jaswinder Singh complainant who is present in Court and has been identified by his counsel Mr. Tanmoy Gupta, Advocate, has made a statement that he has received the cheque amount and that no amount is due and he has no objection if the matter is

compromised and the offence is compounded and the judgment of conviction is set aside, to which the petitioner convict Jagir Singh, who is also present in Court, has readily agreed and has prayed that leniency be shown to him and the matter be disposed off in those terms.

Heard Mr. Saravpreet Gurna, Advocate for the petitioner and Mr. Tanmoy Gupta, Advocate for the respondent.

In view of the position of law laid down in '**Damodar S. Prabhu v. Sayed Babalal H.**' 2010(5) SCC 663, since parties have effected compromise and settled their dispute, the courts are supposed to compound the offence to facilitate harmonious relationship and thus, in the light of this compromise, the offence is compounded and the judgment of conviction is set aside.

The present revision petition stands disposed off in those terms.

(FATEH DEEP SINGH)
JUDGE

March 30, 2015
rps