

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH.

CWP No.11230 of 2013
Date of Decision : 12.2.2015

Mange RamPetitioner

Vs.

State of Haryana and othersRespondents

...

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK

...

Present : Ms. Indu Bala, Advocate for Mr. Krishan Singh, Advocate for the
petitioner.
Mr. Ashok Chaudhary, Addl. A.G., Haryana.
Mr. Parveen Sharma, Advocate for Mr. Arvind Singh, Advocate
for respondents no.5 and 6.

...

1. To be referred to the Reporter or not ?
2. Whether the judgement should be reported in Digest ?

RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK, J

Learned counsel for the State places reliance on resolution dated 8.2.2013 (Annexure R-4/1) to contend that since the services of the petitioner have been terminated even before filing the present writ petition, it has been rendered infructuous and may be disposed of, as such.

The correctness of the abovesaid statement made by learned counsel for the State could not be denied by learned counsel for the petitioner and rightly so, because it was a matter of record.

In view of the above, present writ petition is ordered to be disposed of, as having been rendered infructuous.

12.2.2015
GS

(RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK)
JUDGE