

**HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

MUKESH KUMAR SALUJA
2015-01-23 13:06
I attest to the accuracy and
authenticity of this document

CWP No.14283 of 2012
Date of decision:23.01.2015

Narender Kumar

...Petitioner

Versus

Union of India and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK

1. To be referred to the Reporters or not ?
2. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest ?

Present: Mr.S.K.Hooda, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr.Maharaj Kumar, Advocate for the respondents.

RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioner, at the very outset, fairly states that since during the pendency of this writ petition, appointment order has been issued to the petitioner and he has been allowed to join, the present writ petition may be disposed of, as having been rendered infructuous, however, with liberty to the petitioner to approach the respondent authorities for pursuing his cause for consequential benefits, if any.

Faced with the above, learned counsel for the respondents also fairly states that he has got no objection to the proposal put by the learned counsel for the petitioner.

In view of the above-said respective stands taken by the learned counsel for the parties, the instant writ petition is disposed of, as having been rendered infructuous, however, with liberty as prayed for.

Disposed of, accordingly.

23.01.2015
mks

(RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK)
JUDGE