

**223 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRR No.86 of 2015 (O&M)

Date of Decision: February 24, 2015

Partap Singh

.... Petitioner

vs.

State of Punjab

.... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present: Mr. Naveen Bawa, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Ashish Sanghi, Deputy Advocate General, Punjab.

1. Whether Reporters of Local Newspapers may be allowed to see the judgment?

2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?

3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Kuldip Singh J.(Oral)

Custody certificate of the revisionist has been filed by the learned State Counsel.

Present revision has been filed by the revisionist for challenging the judgment dated 12.11.2014 passed by learned Sessions Judge, Amritsar, vide which the judgment and order dated 22.07.2013 passed by Judicial Magistrate 1st Class, Amritsar was upheld to the effect that the conviction of the revisionist under Section 498-A of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 (in short 'IPC') was maintained. However, his sentence of imprisonment was modified and reduced from two years to one year.

At the motion stage, learned counsel for the revisionist has restricted his prayer only on the quantum of sentence.

Custody certificate of the revisionist shows that he has undergone about five months and ten days of actual sentence as up to 23.02.2015.

Learned counsel for the revisionist has prayed that the revisionist is the only male member in the family and that he should be sentenced to the period already undergone by him.

A perusal of the judgment dated 22.07.2013 passed by learned Judicial Magistrate 1st Class, Amritsar shows that the allegations against the revisionist were that he committed cruelty with his wife and demanded ₹25,000/- on account of dowry.

Keeping in view the facts and circumstances of the case, the sentence of imprisonment only awarded to the revisionist is reduced from one year to nine months. However, the remaining part of the sentence is maintained.

With the aforesaid modification, the present revision petition is dismissed.

February 24, 2015
sarita

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE