

201

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRR No.570 of 2015 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 01.06.2015

DHARAM PAL AND ORS.

.....Petitioners

Vs

STATE OF PUNJAB

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ MOHAN SINGH

Present: Mr. Krishan Sehajpal, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. V.P.S. Sidhu, A.A.G., Punjab.

Mr. Deepak Bhardwaj, Advocate
for respondent No.2-complainant.

1. *Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?*
2. *To be referred to the Reporters or not ?*
3. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

RAJ MOHAN SINGH, J. (Oral)

CRM No.6742 of 2015

For the reasons mentioned in the application, same is allowed subject to all just exceptions. Complainant is impleaded as respondent No.2.

Amended memo of parties along with Annexure P-2 is taken on record.

CRR-570-2015

Learned counsel for the petitioners states that petitioners No.2 to 4 be allowed to execute power of attorney in favour of their

family members so as to facilitate them to execute transfer deeds/mortgage deeds.

Learned counsel for respondent No.2-complainant has no objection to such a course.

Learned State counsel on instructions from HC Kulbir Singh states that the petitioner are being taken to Court on the date fixed and on the adjourned date they will be asked to do the needful.

Resultantly, the prayer of the petitioners to this extent is allowed.

Petitioners No.2 to 4 shall execute power of attorney in favour of their family members and the trial Court shall permit the petitioners to execute the required documents.

Petition stands disposed of.

June 01, 2015
Atik

(RAJ MOHAN SINGH)
JUDGE