

**110 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRR No.549 of 2015

Date of Decision: February 27, 2015

Anant Sinha

.... Petitioner

vs.

State of Haryana and another

.... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present: Ms. Vandna Malhotra, Advocate for the petitioner.

- 1. Whether Reporters of Local Newspapers may be allowed to see the judgment?*
- 2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?*
- 3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

Kuldip Singh J.(Oral)

Challenged in the present revision petition is the order dated 22.12.2014 passed by learned Sessions Judge, Gurgaon, vide which both the cases were withdrawn from the court Mrs. Jogindri, Judicial Magistrate 1st Class, Gurgaon, and transferred to the court of Ms. Samiksha Gupta, Judicial Magistrate 1st Class, Gurgaon for further proceedings in accordance with law.

Learned Sessions Judge, Gurgaon, while disposing of the the application, has given sufficient reasoning. It is prerogative of the learned Sessions Judge, to transfer the case and this Court does not feel it fit to sit on the judgment of learned Sessions Judge, who is running day to day administration of the district.

Learned counsel for the revisionist has relied upon the judgments of Hon'ble the Supreme Court in cases of ***“Kulwinder Kaur @ Kulwinder Gurcharan Singh vs. Kandi Friends Education Trust and Others”, 2008(3) SCC 659 and “Bhiaru Ram & Ors. vs. Central Bureau of Investigation & Ors.” 2010(7) SCC 799.***

This Court is not to re-appreciate the reasoning given by learned Sessions Judge, Gurgaon, for transferring the case from one Magistrate to another.

Accordingly, the present revision petition is dismissed.

February 27, 2015
sarita

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE