

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRR(F)-81-2014 (O&M)
Date of Decision: August 11, 2015

Anju Bala @ Seema Rani and others

...Petitioners

Versus

Sushil Kumar

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE NARESH KUMAR SANGHI

Present: Mr. K. Arun Singh, Advocate,
for Mr. Paramjit Singh Sullar, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

Mr. Jaideep Verma, Advocate,
for the respondent.

1. *Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?*
2. *To be referred to the Reporters or not?*
3. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

NARESH KUMAR SANGHI, J (Oral)

Learned proxy counsel for the petitioners submits that he has instructions to state at the bar that the respondent, Sushil Kumar, has since died, therefore, the present revision petition has been rendered infructuous.

Ordered accordingly.

**(NARESH KUMAR SANGHI)
JUDGE**

August 11, 2015
Pkapoor