

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP No. 10684 of 2013 (O&M)
Date of decision: 03.03.2015

Vijay

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr. B.D. Sharma, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Mehardeep Singh, DAG, Punjab.

Mr. I.S. Sidhu, Advocate for respondent No.3.

Jitendra Chauhan, J. (Oral)

By way of instant writ petition, under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India, the petitioner seeks release of his pensionary benefits along with interest @ 18% p.a.

The learned counsel for respondent No.3 states that in the interregnum period, all the retiral benefits have been released to the petitioner. The petitioner has already paid an amount of Rs. 2,30,690/- as provident fund, vide cheque No. 230690 dated 10.07.2013, Rs. 3,63,831/- towards gratuity, vide cheque No. 544769 dated 17.06.2013.

The learned counsel for the petitioner does not dispute the receipt of payment, however, he states that no interest has been paid on the delayed payment.

From the perusal of reply, it is made out that no interest has been paid on delayed payment made to the petitioner. Accordingly,

respondent No.3, is directed to pay the interest @ 6.5 p.a. on the delayed payment within a period of four months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order.

03.03.2015

sumit.k

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE