

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

Sr. No.202-2

CWP No.2989 of 2009

Dr. P.S. Satsangi and another

vs.

Punjab Engineering College (Deemed University) and others

Present: Mr. Gaurav Chopra, Advocate, for the petitioners.

Mr. Shekhar Kumar, Advocate for
Mr. R.S. Bains, Advocate, for respondents No.1 and 2.

None for respondents No.3 and 4.

None for respondent No.5.

* * *

Learned counsel for respondents No.1 and 2 states that petitioners have been promoted as Professors w.e.f. 22.04.2002 and 17.09.2000, respectively and in view of this fact he does not press the present petition. However, he further states that since the petitioners have been promoted retrospectively w.e.f. 22.04.2002 and 17.09.2000, respectively, they be granted liberty to make a representations to the respondent-Punjab Engineering College (hereinafter referred to as the 'College') claiming arrears as resulting from such retrospective promotion.

In view of the above, the present petition is disposed of as not pressed by giving liberty to the petitioners to make representations to the respondent-College, claiming arrears resulting from his retrospective promotion. If any such representation is made by the petitioners, the same shall be considered by the respondent-College by passing a speaking order and after affording an opportunity of hearing to the petitioners, preferably within four months from the date of receipt of

their representation.

Needless to add that the decision so taken be communicated to the petitioners and if the decision is not to the satisfaction of the petitioner, they would be at liberty to challenge the same, in accordance with law.

If the promotion of the petitioners with retrospective date has adversely effected the rights of any of the private respondents, they would be at liberty to challenge such action in accordance with law.

(DEEPAK SIBAL)
JUDGE

May 20, 2015
Jyoti 1