

214

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRR(F)-112-2014

Date of decision: 29.09.2015

Vikas Garg

... Petitioner

Vs.

Beenu and others

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK

Present: None for the petitioner.

Mr. N.P.S. Kohli, Advocate for
Mr. Ajay Jain, Advocate
for the respondents.

RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK, J. (ORAL)

Perusal of the record particularly the orders dated 05.11.2014, 27.02.2015 and 29.05.2015 would show that nobody has ever appeared on behalf of the petitioner. This petition is directed against the interim order passed by the learned Family Court in a petition under Section 125 of Code of Criminal Procedure ('Cr.P.C.' for short). During pendency of the present petition, said petition under Section 125 Cr.P.C. came to be finally decided by the learned Family Court vide order dated 20.04.2015, rendering the present petition as infructuous. This seems to be the reason that nobody is putting appearance on behalf of the petitioner.

In view of the above, present petition does not survive and the same may be disposed of, as having been rendered infructuous.

[RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK]
JUDGE

29.09.2015
vishnu