

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT

CHANDIGARH

CRR-984-2014

Date of Decision: February 24, 2015

Jagjit Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE NARESH KUMAR SANGHI

Present: None for the petitioner.

Mr. Shilesh Gupta, Addl. AG, Punjab,
for the respondent.

NARESH KUMAR SANGHI, J (Oral)

No one has put in appearance on behalf of the petitioner. Even on the last date of hearing no one had put in appearance for the petitioner.

With the assistance of learned counsel for the State, I have gone through the facts available on record.

Learned counsel for the State on instructions from ASI Lakhbir Singh, has pointed out that 5510 capsules with the brand

name of 'Promodext' and 2010 tablets with the brand name of 'Microlit', both of commercial quantity, were recovered from the petitioner. The prosecution has already led its entire evidence and the case before learned Trial Court is fixed for final pronouncement.

In view of above, no ground for grant of bail is made out.

Dismissed.

(NARESH KUMAR SANGHI)
JUDGE

February 24, 2015
Pkapoor