

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH**

**Criminal Revision No. 484 of 2015**

**Date of decision: 07.12. 2015**

**Ramesh Lal**

**-----Petitioner (s)**

V/s

**State of Punjab**

**-----Respondent(s)**

**CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARI PAL VERMA**

1. Whether reporters of local newspapers may be allowed to see judgment?
2. To be referred to reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Present:- Mr. Vipin Mahajan, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. P.S. Madahar, AAG, Punjab.

---

**HARI PAL VERMA, J.(Oral)**

Challenge in the present revision petition is to the order dated 17.09.2014 passed by Addl. Sessions Judge (Ad-hoc), Fast Track Court, Gurdaspur, whereby the discharge application moved by the petitioner seeking his discharge has been rejected and vide order of even date charges have been framed against the present petitioner.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that since the petitioner has been acquitted in the case, present revision petition no more survives and is liable to be rendered infructuous.

Accordingly, the present revision is disposed of as having been rendered infructuous.

**December 07, 2015**  
Anjal

**( HARI PAL VERMA )  
JUDGE**