

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH.**

CRR No.4814 of 2015(O&M)

Date of Decision:-14.12.2015

Satnam Singh.

.....Petitioner.

Versus

State of Haryana.

.....Respondent.

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASWANT SINGH

Present:- Mr. Rahul Rathore, Advocate for the Petitioner.

JASWANT SINGH, J.(ORAL)

The Petitioner has challenged the order dated 18.09.2015, whereby the trial Court has summoned the petitioner as additional accused by allowing the application under Section 319 Cr.PC.

The case was registered on the basis of the statement of complainant Ranjit Singh, who reported the occurrence which took place on 10.06.2015, wherein an attempt was made to take forcible possession of Agricultural land of Amrik Singh (injured) and also committed an offence punishable under Section 307 IPC by causing injury to Amrik Singh.

Counsel for the petitioner heard at length.

After carrying out the investigation, the final report had exonerated the petitioner Satnam Singh. After commencement of the trial injured Amrik Singh has testified as prosecution witness PW-1 and has

narrated the occurrence specifically showing the involvement of Satnam Singh. The motive is also assigned to Satnam Singh who is relative of the victim. The case of the prosecution is based on eye witness account and involvement of Satnam Singh was mentioned in the complaint/FIR given at the instance of Ranjit Singh (brother of victim Amrik Singh).

The evidence of eye witness as PW-1 and the injuries suffered by the victim are more than sufficient to suggest involvement of Satnam Singh in the crime and the order of summoning is justified.

Since the trial Court has carefully examined everything while deciding the application under Section 319 Cr.PC and there being no error of law which would make a ground for intervention in the revisional jurisdiction.

In view of the above, finding no merit in the present petition, the same is hereby dismissed.

(JASWANT SINGH)
JUDGE

December 14, 2015
Vinay