

220

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRR No.773 of 2014

Date of Decision: February 06, 2015.

Ravi Bhushan

.....Petitioner

Versus

Taranbir Singh

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE SABINA

Present: Petitioner in person along with
Mr. Karan Gupta, Advocate.

Mr. L.M. Gulati, Advocate
for the respondent.

SABINA, J.

Petitioner had faced trial in a compliant filed by the respondent under Section 138 of the Negotiable Instruments Act, 1881 ('Act' for short). Trial Court vide judgment/order dated 28.11.2011 ordered the conviction and sentence of the petitioner qua commission of offence punishable under Section 138 of the Act. Appeal filed by the petitioner against judgment/order of his conviction and sentence was dismissed by the Appellate Court vide order dated 07.02.2014. Hence, the present petition.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that during the pendency of the petition, parties have amicably settled their dispute. Learned counsel has further submitted that he does not challenge the conviction of the

petitioner under Section 138 of the Act but has submitted that sentence qua imprisonment of the petitioner, be reduced to the period already undergone by him.

Submissions made by learned counsel for the petitioner, have not been controverted by the learned counsel for the respondent.

Accordingly, conviction of the petitioner under Section 138 of the Act is maintained. However, sentence qua imprisonment of the petitioner is reduced to the period already undergone by him.

Petitioner stands disposed of, accordingly.

**(SABINA)
JUDGE**

February 06, 2015
m.singh