

**THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP No. 13369 of 2012 (O&M)
Date of decision: February 9, 2015

Gurtej Singh and another

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K. KANNAN

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not ?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Present: Mr. RVS Chugh, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

Mr. Ranbir Singh Pathania, DAG, Punjab.

Mr. Tushant Deep Garg, Advocate,
for respondent No.5.

Mr. Nitin Kaushal, Advocate,
for respondent No. 6.

K. KANNAN, J. (Oral)

1. Short reply filed on behalf of respondent No. 6 is taken on record.

2. To claim for refund of the security deposit, the response is that the amount has been paid even in June and July, 2012. The petitioners would still be aggrieved that the payment have been unduly delayed, although requests for refund was made on 8.9.2010 through a notice after several oral requests made. If the amount was refundable and it was delayed, the petitioners would require to be appropriately compensated for the damages by way of interest as well. Respondents No. 5 and 6 are

directed to pay 9% interest on the amount collected as security deposit from 8.9.2010 till the date of payment. This amount shall be calculated and paid to the petitioner within two weeks after the receipt of a copy of this order.

3. The writ petition stands disposed of with the above direction.

February 9, 2015
prem

(K.KANNAN)
JUDGE