

In the High Court of Punjab and Haryana at Chandigarh

**CWP No. 13142 of 2012
Decided on 17.3.2015**

Anterparneet Kaur and others

--Petitioners

Vs.

State of Punjab and another

--Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE RAKESH KUMAR JAIN

Present: Mr. Vivek Sharma, Advocate, for the petitioners

Mr Harkesh Manuja, Addl.AG, Punjab

Rakesh Kumar Jain,J: (Oral)

The only grievance raised by learned counsel for the petitioners is that the post against which they have been working has not been sanctioned, whereas in para 7 of the reply filed by Balbir Kaur, District Education Officer (Secondary Education), Patiala, on behalf of respondent Nos. 1 and 2, following averment has been made:-

“That as of now all the petitioners are serving against the sanctioned post and their due salary has been paid except petitioner No.4 as already mentioned in the above said para and the present writ petition is liable to be dismissed as infructuous”.

In view of the above, there is no grievance left on the part of the petitioners. Therefore, the present writ petition has become infructuous.

Dismissed as infructuous.

17.3.2015
rr

(Rakesh Kumar Jain)
Judge