

CRR-4073-2015

Date of decision : 27.11.2015

Smt.Sanjana Vashisht

..... Petitioner

versus

Dalbir Singh Saroha

..... Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY TEWARI

Present: Mr. Rajiv Dhawan, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr.Rajwant Singh, Advocate for the respondent.

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

AJAY TEWARI, J. (Oral)

This revision has been filed against the order declining probation to the petitioner-accused to send the cheque in question for forensic examination to determine the age of the ink. On the last date the following order was passed:-

“Learned counsel has argued that if an opportunity is granted to the petitioner as prayed in the application as well as in the petition the petitioner undertakes to lead his entire evidence within a period of three months and, therefore, no prejudice would be caused to the complainant if this application is allowed.

Notice of motion for 27.11.2015.

Let the complainant be served through counsel in the rial court by way of dasti process.”

Learned counsel for the respondent appears and states that though a completely false plea is being sought to be taken yet

since the petitioner has already delayed the case and if he undertakes to conclude his entire evidence within three months as undertaken in the last order he would have no objection.

In the circumstances the petition is allowed in the above terms. It is made clear that the petitioner will have to lead his entire evidence at his own responsibility.

November 27, 2015
sunita

(AJAY TEWARI)
JUDGE