

107 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRR No.3898 of 2014

Date of Decision: January 12, 2015

Risal Singh

.... Petitioner

vs.

Parkasho Devi

.... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present: Mr. Rakesh Dhiman, Advocate for the petitioner.

- 1. Whether Reporters of Local Newspapers may be allowed to see the judgment?*
- 2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?*
- 3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

Kuldip Singh J.(Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioner has stated that in this case the wife had married to somebody else and this fact came to the notice of the petitioner on later stage. He has stated that he wants to move an application under Section 127 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 (in short 'Cr.P.C.') for cancelling the maintenance. However, he has stated that some observations have been made in the order dated 16.07.2014 passed by learned Sessions Judge, Jhajjar, which may stand in his way. He wishes to withdraw the present petition.

In these circumstances, the petition is dismissed as withdrawn with the direction that the observations made by learned Sessions Judge, Jhajjar in the impugned order dated 16.07.2014 shall not be taken into consideration, if application under Section 127 Cr.P.C. is moved by the petitioner.

**(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE**

January 12, 2015
sarita