

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CRR No. 3840 of 2015 (O&M)
Date of decision : October 20, 2015

Neena Rani Gupta

..... Petitioner

v.

State of Haryana

..... Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY TEWARI

Present : Mr. Vivek Goyal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Tanushree Gupta, DAG Haryana

1. **Whether Reporters of Local Newspapers may be allowed to see the judgment ?**
2. **To be referred to the Reporters or not ?**
3. **Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest ?**

Ajay Tewari, J (Oral)

This revision petition is directed against the concurrent conviction of the petitioner under Sections 420/120B of the IPC.

On 9.10.2015, the following contention was noticed :-

“ Learned counsel limits his prayer only on the reduction of sentence arguing that the petitioner has undergone 18½ months of actual sentence out of a total sentence of 24 months. He has further argued that the petitioner is not the main accused.”

Custody certificate by way of affidavit of Officiating Superintendent, Central Jail, Ambala has been filed. Copy supplied. As per the same, the petitioner has undergone 1 year 6 months and 25 days of actual custody out of total sentence of 2 years.

Learned DAG Haryana has accepted that the petitioner was not the main accused.

In these circumstances, I do not deem it appropriate to deny the limited prayer made by counsel for the petitioner. Consequently, even while maintaining the conviction, I reduce the sentence of the petitioner to the period which she has already undergone. This petition stands disposed of.

October 20, 2015
`kk'

(AJAY TEWARI)
JUDGE