

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRR-3794 of 2015.
Decided on:-08.10.2015.

Satya Devi.

.....Petitioner.

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents.

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARI PAL VERMA.

1. Whether reporters of local newspapers may be allowed to see judgment?
2. To be referred to reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Present:- Mr. G.C. Rattan, Advocate
for the petitioner.

HARI PAL VERMA, J. (ORAL)

Petitioner Satya Devi has filed the present revision petition under Section 401 Cr.PC challenging order dated 8.9.2015 (Annexure P-3) passed by learned Chief Judicial Magistrate, Mohali whereby her petition filed under Section 156(3) Cr.PC for issuance of a direction to the State for registration of the FIR, was dismissed.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has drawn attention of this Court to para Nos.2 and 3 of the complaint (Annexure P-1), which read as under:

2. *That you all along with your mother Nirmala Devi @ Bholi and your younger sister Bindu then induced the applicants at their residence of H.No.427 Phase XI, Mohali on 5.5.2011 to advance the personal loan of Rs.1 lakh to you all at the rate of Rs.6000/- per month from the date of*

advancing the loan on 5.5.2011 and the applicants were so convinced and induced on your inducement on your assurance to advance you all personal family loan of Rs.1 lakh at the rate of interest @ Rs.6000/- per month as assured by all of you which is clear from the handwritten writing on paper dated 5.5.2011.

- 3. That you all assured that you will return the amount of Rs.1 lakh with interest at the rate of Rs.6000/- per month from the date of advancing the loan on 5.5.2011 and under your inducement/assurance, the applicants then advanced you all, the loan of Rs.1 lakh in two installments for which you had given in writing at the time of advancing the loan to you on 5.5.2011 and 5.4.2012 and you had given in writing with your handwriting that you will start repaying the loan @ Rs.6000/- per month on each and every date of 30th of each month from the date of advancing the loan.*

The cumulative effect of the aforesaid averments made in para Nos.2 and 3 of the complaint (Annexure P-1) clearly shows that dispute in the present case is purely of civil nature and, therefore, there is no illegality in the impugned order dated 8.9.2015 passed by learned Chief Judicial Magistrate, Mohali.

Accordingly, I find no merit in the present revision petition and the same is dismissed.

October 08, 2015
Yag Dutt

(HARI PAL VERMA)
JUDGE