

**IN THE PUNJAB AND HARYANA HIGH COURT AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CWP-15121-2011 (O&M)
Date of Decision : 28.04.2015**

Mohan Lal

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and another

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN PALLI

Present:- Mr. S.K. Sharma, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Nilesh Bhardwaj, DAG, Punjab.

1. Whether Reporters of Local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

ARUN PALLI, J. (ORAL)

C.M. No. 5201 of 2015

CM application is allowed.

Documents (Annexures P-39 & P-40) are taken on record.

CWP-15121-2011

Learned counsel for the parties submit that let this petition be disposed of with a direction to the respondents to consider the claim of the petitioner, as set out in the instant petition, in terms of the Division Bench decision rendered by this Court in **CWP No. 2371 of 2010** titled as **Harbans Lal vs. State of Punjab and others**, decided on 31.08.2010 and an order passed by this Court in **CWP No. 1432 of 2012** titled as **Som Nath and others vs. State of Punjab and others**, decided on 23.01.2013, within a specified time.

That being so, the petition is disposed of with a direction to the

respondents to consider and decide the claim of the petitioner as set out in the instant petition, within a period of three months from the receipt of certified copy of the order, in terms of the judgments rendered by this Court in **Harbans Lal** and **Som Nath and others** cases (Supra).

Consequential, benefits, if any, be released to the petitioner within a period of two months thereafter.

(ARUN PALLI)
JUDGE

28.04.2015
'SP'