

1

**CRR No.3562 of 2014 (O&M)**  
**Decided on:-20.1.2015.**

.....Petitioner

**....Respondent.**

Present: Mr. Neeraj Gupta, Advocate  
for the petitioner.

During arguments, learned counsel for the petitioner-revisionist confines his prayer to the quantum of sentence only.

The revisionist was convicted under Section 324 IPC by learned Judicial Magistrate 1<sup>st</sup> Class, Rewari and sentenced to undergo Rigorous Imprisonment for three years vide judgment dated 2.3.2013. The appeal filed by the revisionist was dismissed on 07.06.2014 by learned Additional Sessions Judge, Rewari.

A perusal of FIR shows that the occurrence is of the

year 2006. In this case, there was only one injury i.e. Incised wound on his right wrist. It was observed by learned Appellate Court that the blood vessels were found cut sharply and profuse bleeding was present during the MLR. Due to seriousness of injury, the injured was referred to PGIMS, Rohtak. This fact have to be in the mind of the Courts below to impose the maximum sentence. However, keeping in view the fact that the occurrence took place in the year 2006 and the offence is under Section 324, the petitioner deserves some leniency.

Accordingly, sentence of Rigorous Imprisonment of three years is reduced to Rigorous Imprisonment for two years.

With this modification, the present revision is dismissed.

**(KULDIP SINGH)**  
**JUDGE**

**January 20, 2015**  
**Ishant**