

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRR No.3436 of 2015 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 09.10.2015**

Jaswinder Singh @ Cheena

....Petitioner

versus

State of Punjab and another

....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE SABINA

Present: Mr. Gurcharan Dass, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. J.S. Sekhon, AAG, Punjab.

Mr. Jatinder Singh-respondent No.2 in person.

SABINA, J.

Petitioner had faced trial in FIR No.258 dated 17.12.2006, under Sections 420, 467, 468, 471 and 120-B of Indian Penal Code, 1860 ('IPC' for short) registered at Police Station Division No.7, Ludhiana.

Trial Court vide judgment/order dated 30.05.2014, ordered the conviction and sentence of the petitioner qua commission of offence punishable under Sections 420, 471 and 120-B IPC. The conviction and sentence of the petitioner, as ordered by the trial Court, were upheld by the Appellate Court vide order dated 17.07.2015. Hence, the present petition by the petitioner.

Learned counsel for the petitioner, during the course of arguments, has not challenged the conviction of the petitioner under Sections 420, 471 and 120-B IPC, but has submitted that sentence *qua* imprisonment of the petitioner be reduced to the period already undergone by him as the petitioner has effected a compromise with the complainant. In terms of the compromise, petitioner has paid ₹40,000/- to respondent No.2.

Today, respondent No.2 is present in person and has admitted the contents of his affidavit (Annexure P-2) and has stated that he has no objection, if the sentence *qua* imprisonment of the petitioner is reduced to the period already undergone by him.

Accordingly, conviction of the petitioner under Sections 420, 471 and 120-B IPC is maintained. However, sentence *qua* imprisonment of the petitioner is reduced to the period already undergone by him. Petitioner who is in custody be set at liberty forthwith if not required in any other case.

Petition stands disposed of, accordingly.

(SABINA)
JUDGE

09.10.2015
yakub