

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**Crl. Revision No.3256 of 2015 (O&M)
Date of decision : 22.09.2015**

Suman Rani

.....Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE ANITA CHAUDHRY

Present: Mr. Parvesh Sachdev, Advocate
for the petitioner.

ANITA CHAUDHRY, J.

CRM No. 28553 of 2015

For the reasons set out in the application, same is allowed as prayed for and delay of 83 days in filing the petition stands condoned.

CRM No. 31065 of 2015

For the reasons set out in the application, same is allowed as prayed for and accompanying documents (Annexures P-4 to P-7) are allowed to be taken on record.

CRR No. 3256 of 2015

Heard.

Counsel for the petitioner contends that as per the allegations, the petitioner and Ranjit Singh were arrested and recovery of 2 kilogram 500 grams of opium was effected. The counsel for the petitioner contends that subsequently Roop Singh was arrested and separate recovery of 380

grams of opium was effected and the trial Court has framed the charge (Annexure P-1) that all the accused were found in possession of 2 kilogram 880 grams of opium. The counsel refers to the copy of the challan and Annexures P-6 and P-7 to show that separate recoveries were effected.

The petition is disposed of. The petitioner may approach the trial Court and move an application for amendment of the charge, which the Court concerned would consider as per law.

22.09.2015

sunil

(ANITA CHAUDHRY)
JUDGE