

108 **IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRR No.309 of 2015 (O&M)
Date of Decision: January 29, 2015

Gopi Nath

.... Petitioner

vs.

State of Haryana

.... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present: Mr. Vijay Sangwan, Advocate for the petitioner.

- 1. Whether Reporters of Local Newspapers may be allowed to see the judgment?*
- 2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?*
- 3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

Kuldip Singh J.(Oral)

Impugned in the present revision is the judgment dated 16.01.2015 passed by learned Sessions Judge, Narnaul, affirming the judgment of conviction dated 15.11.2014 and order of sentence dated 19.11.2014 passed by learned Judicial Magistrate 1st Class, Narnaul, whereby the revisionist was convicted under Sections 279 and 304-A of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 (in short 'IPC') and sentenced to undergo simple imprisonment for six months under Section 279 IPC and further sentenced to undergo simple imprisonment for eighteen months under Section 304-A IPC. Both the sentences were directed to run concurrently.

During the course of arguments, learned counsel for the revisionist confined his prayer to the quantum of sentence only. It is stated that the revisionist is a poor man from Uttar Pardesh and he has a family to support.

In this case , one person, namely, Zile Singh died after he was ran over by the tanker driven by the present revisionist. The revisionist was arrested on the same day. His name and the number of the tanker were mentioned in the FIR.

Keeping in view the facts and circumstances and the prayer of learned counsel for the revisionist, the sentence of eighteen months awarded to the revisionist under Section 304-A IPC is reduced to twelve months.

With the aforesaid modification, the present revision petition is dismissed.

January 29, 2015
sarita

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE