

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

210

**Civil Writ Petition No.11896 of 2012
Date of Decision:17.07.2015**

Pawan Kumar Jindal and otherspetitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and othersrespondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE ARUN PALLI

- 1.Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgement?**
- 2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?**
- 3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?**

Present: Ms.Bhawna, Advocate for
Mr.J.S.Bhandohal, Advocate
for the petitioners

Mr.Nilesh Bhardwaj, DAG Punjab

ARUN PALLI, J.(ORAL):-

What has been assailed in this petition are the show cause notices (Annexures P1 to P13), vide which pursuant to re-fixation of pay of the petitioners, recoveries were sought to be effected. Replies were solicited from the petitioners and a month's time was afforded, in this regard. However, the petitioners rather chose to file instant petition assailing the show cause notices (Annexures P1 to P13), itself.

Learned State counsel submits that let the petition be disposed of, with liberty to the petitioners to submit their respective replies to the show cause notices and appropriate orders, thereafter, shall be passed after affording them an opportunity of personal hearing. And in the interregnum, order dated 10.07.2012, which is in

operation shall continue to operate.

Learned counsel for the petitioners is agreeable to the course suggested by learned State counsel and submits that the petitioners shall submit their replies, within a period of three weeks from today.

That being so, the petition is disposed of, with a direction to the respondents to afford an opportunity of hearing to the petitioners, on the dates to be specified, after soliciting their replies. And pass appropriate orders thereafter in accordance with law. Till then, the interim order dated 10.07.2012, vide which respondents were restrained from effecting recoveries from the petitioners shall continue to operate.

17.07.2015

neenu

(ARUN PALLI)
JUDGE