

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

Civil Writ Petition No.11747 of 2012
Date of Decision: July 21, 2015

Bhuna Cooperative Sugar Mills Limited, Bhuna, District Fatehabad &
another

...Petitioners

Versus

The Appellate Authority under the Payment of Gratuity Act, 1972 & others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE AMIT RAWAL, JUDGE

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Present: Mr.Naveen S. Bhardwaj, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

AMIT RAWAL, J. (Oral)

The appeal filed against the order passed by the Controlling Authority has been dismissed on the ground of non-deposit of the statutory amounts as assessed.

Mr.Naveen S. Bhardwaj, learned counsel appearing for the petitioners submits that the matter may be remanded back with an opportunity to the petitioners to deposit the amount as assessed, so that the matter can be heard and decided on merits.

Notice of this writ petition as issued to the respondents. As per the office report, the respondents have been served, but no one has put in appearance on their behalf.

The prayer made by the learned counsel for the petitioners is fair, legal and justified.

Accordingly, the order of the Appellate Authority dated 16.3.2012 (Annexure P-8) is set-aside and the matter is remitted back to the Appellate Authority to decide the appeal on merits, provided the petitioners deposit the amount as assessed by the Controlling Authority within a period of four months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order. In essence, the appeal is restored to its original number.

The writ petition stands disposed of.

July 21, 2015
ramesh

(AMIT RAWAL)
JUDGE