

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRR-2622-2015(O&M)
Date of Decision:03.11.2015

Vicky and another
versus

.....Petitioners

State of Haryana

....Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY TEWARI

Present: Mr.Gautam Dutt, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr.Chetan Sharma, AAG, Haryana.

- 1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
- 2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
- 3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

AJAY TEWARI, J. (Oral)

This revision has been filed against concurrent conviction of the petitioners for the commission of offence under Sections 353/332/186/506 read with Section 34 IPC. The sentence awarded is as under:-

Under Section	Sentence imposed	In default of payment of fine
353/34 IPC	SI for six months with fine of Rs. 500/-	SI for 15 days
332/34 IPC	SI for six months one year with fine of Rs. 1000/-	SI for one month
186/34 IPC	SI for one month with fine of Rs. 200/-	SI for 7 days
506/34 IPC	SI for three months with fine of Rs. 500/-	SI for 15 days

All the sentences were ordered to run concurrently.

Learned counsel for the petitioners has argued that he does not dispute this revision on merits but prays that some leniency be shown in the sentence since petitioner Vicky has old parents and petitioner Ranjeet has two minor children to look after and support. Learned AAG has argued that keeping in view the antecedents of the petitioners and the fact that they are not habitual offenders, he has no objection if some leniency is shown in sentence.

Keeping in view the above arguments of learned counsel and the fact that the petitioners are in custody since July, 2015, I deem it appropriate to reduce their sentence from one year to six months. Ordered accordingly.

Petition stands disposed of.

Since the main case has been decided, the Criminal Misc. Application, if any, also stands disposed of.

(AJAY TEWARI)
JUDGE

November 03, 2015
sunita