

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

237

**Crl. Revision No.2578 of 2015(O&M)
Date of decision: August 24, 2015**

R.S. Narula

....Petitioner

versus

Shri Kanwal Kakkar and another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE DAYA CHAUDHARY

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment? Yes/No
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not? Yes/No
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest? Yes/No

Present: Mr. Hoshiar Singh Jaswal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Anurag Chopra, Advocate
for respondent No.1.

DAYA CHAUDHARY, J.

By way of filing the present revision petition, the judgment dated 02.07.2015 passed by Additional Sessions Judge, Chandigarh has been challenged, whereby the judgment of conviction and order of sentence dated 07.08.2014 passed by Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Chandigarh has been upheld.

Learned counsel for the petitioner, on instructions from the son

of the petitioner, namely, Amandeep Singh, submits that the petitioner is ready to settle the dispute by paying an amount of Rs.2 lacs in cash to learned counsel for respondent No.1 in the Court today against proper receipt and remaining amount of Rs.2 lacs within a period of three months from today.

Learned counsel for respondent No.1 has accepted an amount of Rs.2 lacs paid by learned counsel for the petitioner in the Court today.

In view of the submissions made by learned counsel for the petitioner and since an amount of Rs.2 lacs has been paid to learned counsel for respondent No.1, the sentence of the petitioner is suspended and he is directed to be released on interim bail on his furnishing bail/surety bonds to the satisfaction of CJM, Chandigarh till further orders.

However, in case, the remaining amount is not paid within the stipulated period, the respondents are at liberty to move an application for revival of this order.

Disposed of accordingly.

(DAYA CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE

August 24, 2015

sonia g.