

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRR-2478-2015 (O&M)
Date of decision : 03.12.2015**

Jugal Kishor

..... Petitioner

versus

State of Punjab and others

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE AJAY TEWARI

Present : Mr. Vinod K.Kaushal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms.Amarjit Kaur Khurana, Addl. AG, Punjab.

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

AJAY TEWARI, J. (Oral)

This revision petition has been filed against concurrent judgments of the courts below whereby respondents No.2 and 3 have been acquitted of charges under Sections 465/467/471 read with Section 120-B IPC.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has argued that the trial Court has not appreciated the report of the forensic laboratory whereby the signatures on the agreement to sell were found to be forged and fabricated. This fact has been duly considered by both the Courts. I find no reason to take a different view.

Petition is dismissed.

Since the main case has been decided, the pending criminal miscellaneous application, if any, also stands disposed of.

03.12.2015

Pooja Sharma-I

**(AJAY TEWARI)
JUDGE**