

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**C.R.R. No.2454 of 2015 (O&M)
Date of Decision : 10.08.2015**

Dalbir Singh

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

..... Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE AJAY TEWARI

Present : Mr. Prabhjot Singh, Advocate
for the petitioner.

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

AJAY TEWARI, J. (Oral)

By this revision petition the petitioner has challenged the judgment of the trial Court and that of the appellate Court convicting him under Sections 420, 471, 465 & 468 IPC and sentencing him to undergo 2 years imprisonment.

The allegations were that though the father of the petitioner had died in the year 2000, he kept furnishing forged life certificates of his father and withdrew pension upto the year 2005. Both the Courts below have concurrently found that the petitioner was guilty of the offence.

Learned counsel has argued that there is no direct evidence that it was the petitioner who had submitted the forged life certificates or had withdrawn the pension from the bank.

These arguments have been considered by both the Courts below who have concurrently held that the circumstantial evidence clearly points to the fact that the sole beneficiary i.e. the petitioner had perpetrated this fraud.

No other argument has been raised by the learned counsel for the petitioner.

In my opinion, it cannot be said that the conclusion drawn by the courts is so palpably perverse or erroneous so as to justify interference in the revisional jurisdiction of this Court.

Consequently, the revision petition is dismissed.

Since the main case has been decided, the pending criminal miscellaneous application, if any, also stands disposed of.

AUGUST 10, 2015

ashish

**(AJAY TEWARI)
JUDGE**