

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

248

Criminal Writ Petition No.1032 of 2013

Narender Singh @ Vicky

....Petitioner

Versus

The State of Haryana and others

....Respondents

AND

Criminal Writ Petition No.1034 of 2013

Sangeet @ Sanju

....Petitioner

Versus

The State of Haryana and others

....Respondents

Date of Decision: 20th August, 2015

CORAM: Hon'ble Mrs. Justice Daya Chaudhary

1. Whether reporters of local newspapers may be allowed to see judgment? Yes/No
2. To be referred to reporters or not? Yes/No
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest? Yes/No

Present: Mr. A.S. Trikha, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Baljinder Singh Virk, DAG, Haryana.

DAYA CHAUDHARY, J.

This order of mine shall dispose of two Criminal Writ Petitions bearing No.1032 and 1034 of 2013 as the facts and the law point involved in both the cases are identical.

The present petitions have been filed under Article 226 of the

Constitution of India for grant of parole to the petitioners for agriculture purposes.

The present petitions relates to the year 2013. The petitioners have filed both the writ petitions for releasing them on parole to look after their agro land seasonally, which came to an end.

Nothing survives in the present petitions at this stage and the same are disposed of with liberty to the petitioners to move a fresh representation before the competent authority for grant of parole.

Disposed of.

August 20, 2015
sonia g.

(DAYA CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE