

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

Civil Writ Petition No.11014 of 2012

Date of decision: 6.10.2015

Jasvir Singh and others

... Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV NARAIN RAINA

Present: Mr.Lavish Puri, Advocate, for
Mr.Amit Sharma, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr.Nikhil K. Chopra, DAG, Punjab.

1. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
2. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

RAJIV NARAIN RAINA, J. (Oral)

On 31.5.2012 when notice of motion was issued in the petition, learned counsel for the petitioners had given up his argument with respect to claim for pension and had restricted his prayer to the grievance that after the year 2008, their CPF contributions had not been deducted and this has resulted in financial loss.

Since the issue has been reduced to within a narrow compass, then it may not be justified to keep this matter pending as requested today for another adjournment by the next friend of the learned counsel for the petitioners and it would suffice that a direction is issued to the respondent

State to look into the grievance of the petitioners and remedy it with respect to defalcations in depositing CPF contributions. Let the needful be done within two months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order. In case the petitioners have any remaining grievance, they are at liberty to make an application in this disposed of matter for any further directions as may become necessary to issue.

The petition stands disposed of with the above directions and the liberty as above.

(RAJIV NARAIN RAINA)
JUDGE

October 6, 2015
Paritosh Kumar