

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRR No. 1988 of 2015 (O&M)

Date of decision: 13.07.2015.

Jaspal Kaur

..... Petitioner.

Versus

Balvir Singh and others

..... Respondents.

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE NAVITA SINGH

Present:- Mr. R.V.S. Chugh, Advocate, for the petitioner.

NAVITA SINGH, J. (ORAL)

The petitioner had moved an application (Annexure P-2) before the trial Court that she wanted to produce certain documents by way of additional evidence. It was mentioned in the application that the documents, details of which were given in the application, were necessary to be produced. The Court, while deciding the application, rightly observed that nothing was mentioned in the application as to what was the purpose of bringing the documents in evidence and why those were necessary for effective adjudication of the matter.

It is submitted by counsel for the petitioner that the documents are required to be produced because in the defence taken before the trial Court, the husband, i.e. respondent No. 1 herein, took the plea that the petitioner was pregnant before her marriage with him, but he had not taken any such defence in the other litigation between the parties, i.e. in his reply to the application of the petitioner filed under Section 125 of the Code of Criminal Procedure. This, however, was not mentioned in the application

nor any reason was given. It is also not known as to whether this defence was disclosed by the accused in the cross-examination of the petitioner or not.

The Court below has rightly dismissed the application.

No interference is, therefore, called for in the impugned order.

The revision petition is dismissed.

However, liberty is given to the petitioner to file a better application before the trial Court.

(NAVITA SINGH)
JUDGE

13.07.2015
Ramesh